

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/418,818  | 10/15/1999    | DAVID CHEUNG         | AM1084D01/T9            | 9377             |
| 32588 75  | 90 01/14/2003 |                      |                         |                  |
| APPLIED MATERIALS, INC.<br>2881 SCOTT BLVD. M/S 2061<br>SANTA CLARA, CA 95050 |               | EXAMINER             |                         |                  |
|   |               |                      | ZERVIGON, RUDY          |                  |
|   |               |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |               |                      | 1763                    |                  |
|   |               |                      | DATE MÁILED: 01/14/2003 |                  |
|   |               |                      | 19                      |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Office Action Summary  - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the c_ver sheet with the c_respondence address - Period for Repty  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE § MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Estancians of term may be available under the provisions of 3C FR 1.146(s). In c_event, however, may a repty be trevely fleed. The period for reply specified above is less that stellay (30) days, a repty while the stability priminum of thing (30) days will be considered interly. If the period for reply specified show is less that stellay (30) days, a repty while the stability priminum of thing (30) days will be considered interly. If the period for reply specified above is less that stellay (30) days, a repty while the stability priminum of thing (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less that stellay (30) days, a repty while the stability of the stability of this communication, even if stretaly fleed, may reduce any sent of the reply specified of the communication, even if stretaly fleed, may reduce any sent of the reply specified of the communication, even if stretaly fleed, may reduce any sent of the replication is properly and the replication is non-final.  3) Street this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s)   |   | Applicati n No.         | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|-------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| Rudy Zervigon   1783    |   | 09/418,818              | CHEUNG ET AL. |  |  |  |  |
| - The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the c_ver sheet with the c_respondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Exercised or time my be sevialed used the provisions of 3 CFR 1.38(a). In no event, however, may a riply be timely filed  If the period for may be specified above is less time hittly (30) disps, an apply within the statutory minimum of thinty (30) disps, will be considered timely.  If the period for may be specified above is less time hittly (30) disps, an apply within the statutory minimum of thinty (30) disps, an apply within the statutory minimum of thinty (30) disps, will be considered timely.  If the period for may be specified above is less time hittly (30) disps, an apply within the statutory minimum of thinty (30) disps will be considered timely.  If the period for may be specified above is less time hittly and the ribe and timely and will represent the statutory display to the statutory display and will represent the statutory display the considered timely.  If the period for may be specified above is less timeling timely and will represent the statutory display the considered timely.  If the period for may be specified above is less timeling   | Offic Action Summary  | Examin r                | Art Unit      |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Set of the State of Month Tisk communication of the State of Month State of the State of the State of Month State of the State o |   |                         |               |  |  |  |  |
| THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time reply be willded under the provisions of 3 CFR. 1.13(6). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MCNTIS from the mailing date of this communication.  It NO period for reply is specified both on the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the mailine statushop period will apply and will support (8) (6) MCNTIS from the mailing date of this communication.  Falve to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutory inclinate the period of the communication.  Falve to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutor, and the communication, even if timely fleed, may reduce any and potential the mailing date of this communication, even if timely fleed, may reduce any any statutor in the mailing date of this communication, even if timely fleed, may reduce any statutor in the provision of this communication, even if timely fleed, may reduce any statutor in the provision of this communication, even if timely fleed, may reduce any statutor in the provision of this communication, even if timely fleed, may reduce any statutor in the provision of this communication, even if timely fleed, may reduce any statutor in the provision of this communication of the communication of the set of the provision of the set |   |                         |               |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-10 and 44-62 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)  approved b)  disapproved by the Examiner.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(e)  | <ul> <li>THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul> |                         |               |  |  |  |  |
| 3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4   Claim(s) 1-10 and 44-62 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5   Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6   Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6   Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8   Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9   The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10   The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)   accepted or b)   objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11   The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)   approved b)   disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)   All b)   Some * c)   None of:  1.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)   The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  10   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  21   Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   | 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 N</u>   | lovember 2002 .         |               |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)   | 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi  | is action is non-final. |               |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1 □ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  2 □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |                         |               |  |  |  |  |
| 5  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers 9  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  | 4) Claim(s) 1-10 and 44-62 is/are pending in the  | application.            |               |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) 1-10 and 44-62 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  10 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  21 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 22 Onotice of Braitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |                         |               |  |  |  |  |
| 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11 The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |                         |               |  |  |  |  |
| 8   Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9   The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10   The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)   accepted or b)   objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11   The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)   approved b)   disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)   All   b)   Some * c)   None of:  1   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)   The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  Attachment(s)  1)   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)   Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 44-62</u> is/are rejected.   |                         |               |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |                         |               |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some col None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |                         |               |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   | Application Papers  |                         |               |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   | 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |                         |               |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   | 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |                         |               |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)   |   |                         |               |  |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)   |   |                         |               |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some col None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |   |                         |               |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | ·   |                         |               |  |  |  |  |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | •   |                         |               |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  |   |                         |               |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)   |   |                         |               |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |   |                         |               |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |   |                         |               |  |  |  |  |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   |                         |               |  |  |  |  |
| 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |                         |               |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | <u> </u>  |                         |               |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | Attachment(s)   |                         |               |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 5) Notice of Informal P |               |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/418,818 Page 2

Art Unit: 1763

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 55, 56, and 62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by J. Batey et al<sup>1</sup>. Batey teaches a method of helium dilution to achieve low deposition rates and high quality films (Underlined Summary text). Specifically, Batey teaches that the helium introduction is provided to achieve a desired low deposition rate from a plasma enhanced reaction of the selected deposition gases of silane and nitrous oxide (Section II), the desired low deposition rate being lower than a deposition rate using the selected deposition gases at the deposition gas flow rates with a lower flow rate of the inert gas (underlined text, Section III), to produce a plasma enhanced reaction of the deposition gases in the process chamber to deposit a film at the low deposition rate (section V, underlined text). Batey also teaches:
- i. a process chamber ("Plasma Therm PK1250", Section II)
- ii. a substrate support (not shown), located within the process chamber, for supporting a substrate (required)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> J. Bately and E. Tierney, "Low-temperature deposition of high-quality silicon dioxide by plasma-enhanced chamical vapor deposition" *J.Appl.Phys.* **60**(9), 1 November 1986, pp.3136-3145

Application/Control Number: 09/418,818 Page 3

Art Unit: 1763

iii. a gas delivery system (not shown) for delivering selected deposition gases into the process chamber at deposition gas flow rates (Table I, Page 3138)

- iv. maintaining chamber pressure between 1 and 6 Torr (Section III, underlined text)
- v. means for heating the substrate to a temperature between 200°C and 400°C (Section III, underlined text)

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-7, 9, 10, 44-50, 53, 54, and 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Felts et al (USPat. 4,888,199) in view of J. Batey et al. Felts et al (USPat. 4,888,199) teaches a PECVD process control and equipment (column 4, lines 8-31) where:
- i. A substrate processing system, comprising:
- ii. a process chamber (item 11, Figure 1;col.4,lines 8-31);
- iii. a substrate support (item 53, Figure 2;col.4,lines 48-60), located within the vacuum chamber, for supporting a substrate (item 13, Figure 1,2)
- iv. a power supply (item 17, Figure 1,2;col.3,line 61-65)
- v. a gas delivery system (item 15, Figure 1,2;col.3,lines 59--61) for delivering process gases (col.5,lines 3-40) into the process chamber;

Application/Control Number: 09/418,818

Art Unit: 1763

- vi. a controller (item 27, Fig.1;col.5,line 27 through the end of the patent) configured to control the power supply (item 17, Figure 1,2;col.3,line 61-65,Both Felts et al) and the gas delivery system
- vii. a memory (column 10, lines 56-64) coupled to the controller comprising a computer readable program (column 16 column 46- Felts et al 4,888,199) having a computer readable program embodied therein for directing operation of the substrate processing system, the computer readable program including a first (column 5, lines 16-40) set of computer instructions (column 16 -column 46 Felts et al- 199) for controlling the gas delivery system to introduce selected deposition gases (column 5, lines 17-40) into the process chamber at deposited gas flow rates
- viii. a second and fourth (column 10, lines 47-50; col.31) set of computer instructions for controlling the gas delivery system to add a flow of an inert gas (column 10, lines 47-50; column 6, lines 15-20; col.31) to the selected deposition gases at a flow rate previously determined
  - ix. a third set of computer instructions (column 11, lines 14-24, 47-49) for controlling the power supply to supply power to the process chamber
  - x. an oxygen gas source (column 6, lines 15-20)

Felts does not teach that the helium introduction is provided to achieve a desired low deposition rates from a plasma enhanced reaction of the selected deposition gases, the desired low deposition rate being lower than a deposition rate using the selected deposition gases at the deposition gas flow rates with a lower flow rate of the inert gas to produce a plasma enhanced

reaction of the deposition gases in the process chamber to deposit a film at the low deposition rate. Felts does not teach silane gas (SiH<sub>4</sub>) or nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O). Felts does not teach:

- i. a chamber pressure in the range of 1-6Torr
- ii. silane (SiH<sub>4</sub>) or nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) flowed into the chamber at a rate of 5-300 sccm
- iii. a heater to heat the substrate to a temperature in the range of 200-400°C
- iv. fifth set of computer instructions for controlling a heater
- v. helium (He) to combined silane (SiH<sub>4</sub>) and nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) ratio of 6.25:1 or greater
- vi. power applied to the process chamber in the range of 50-500Watts

Batey teaches a method of helium dilution to achieve low deposition rates and high quality films (Underlined Summary text). Specifically, Batey teaches that the helium introduction is provided to achieve a desired low deposition rate from a plasma enhanced reaction of the selected deposition gases of silane and nitrous oxide (Section II), the desired low deposition rate being lower than a deposition rate using the selected deposition gases at the deposition gas flow rates with a lower flow rate of the inert gas (underlined text, Section III), to produce a plasma enhanced reaction of the deposition gases in the process chamber to deposit a film at the low deposition rate (section V, underlined text). Batey also teaches:

- vi. a chamber pressure in the range of 1-6Torr (underlined text, Section III)
- vii. silane (SiH<sub>4</sub>) and nitrous oxide as nitrogen source (N<sub>2</sub>O) flowed into the chamber at a rate of 5-300 sccm (Section II, Table I) where the helium (He) to combined silane (SiH<sub>4</sub>) and nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) ratio of 6.25:1 or greater (2000/140 = 14.28/1)
- viii. a heater to heat the substrate to a temperature in the range of 200-400°C (underlined text, Section III)

ix. power applied to the process chamber at 25Watts (underlined text, Section III)

Felts and Batey do not teach the volumetric flow rate of silane (SiH<sub>4</sub>) is 0.5 to 3 times the volumetric flow rate of nitrous oxide  $(N_2O) - 0.5 < (SiH_4)/(N_2O) < 3.0$  because Batey teaches the claimed ratio at 0.4. Felts and Batey do not teach nitrous oxide  $(N_2O)$  flow rate between 15 to 160 sccm because Batey teaches nitrous oxide  $(N_2O)$  a flow rate of 100 sccm (Table I).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for Felts to use the Batey method of helium dilution of the process gasses and to introduce a heater controlled between 200-400°C and power applied between 50-500Watts.

Motivation for Felts to use the Batey method of helium dilution of the process gasses and to introduce a heater controlled between 200-400°C and to optimize the power and gas flow rates is to achieve larger density films with good electrical integrity and that are pinhole-free as taught by Batey (Section V. Conclusion). Further, it would be obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art to optimize the operation of the claimed invention as taught by Batey (Section III, underlined text, In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980); In re Hoeschele, 406 F.2d 1403, 160 USPQ 809 (CCPA 1969); Merck & Co. Inc. v. Biocraft Laboratories Inc., 874 F.2d 804, 10 USPQ2d 1843 (Fed. Cir.), cert. denied, 493 U.S. 975 (1989); In re Kulling, 897 F.2d 1147, 14 USPQ2d 1056 (Fed. Cir. 1990), MPEP 2144.05).

3. Claim 51 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Felts et al (USPat. 4,888,199) and J. Batey et al in view of Felts et al (USPat. 5,364,665). Felts et al (USPat. 4,888,199) is discussed above.

Art Unit: 1763

Felts et al (USPat. 4,888,199) does not teach the substrate support is spaced from the gas distribution system at a distance in the range of 200-600 mils = 0.2-0.6 inches, where mils is interpreted as milli-inches

Felts et al (USPat.5,364,665) teaches:

xviii. a substrate support is spaced " $\Delta$ " (column 6,line 62-col.7,line 10) from the gas distribution system at a distance in the range of 200-600 mils = 0.2-0.6 inches, where mils is interpreted as milli-inches – "Distance should be no greater than about 12 inches... or -  $\Delta$  < 12"

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for Felts et al (USPat. 4,888,199) to space the substrate support from the gas distribution system at a distance in the range of 200-600 mils = 0.2-0.6 inches taught by Felts et al (USPat.5,364,665).

Motivation for Felts et al (USPat. 4,888,199) to space the substrate support from the gas distribution system at a distance in the range of 200-600 mils = 0.2-0.6 inches is to effect sufficient plasma confinement as taught by Felts et al (USPat.5,364,665; column 6, lines 65-68).

3. Claims 52, 58, and 59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Felts et al (USPat. 4,888,199) in view of J. Batey et al in view of Collins et al (USPat. 5,300,460). Felts and Batey are discussed above. Both Felts and Batey do not teach deposition gases further comprising NH<sub>3</sub> flowed into the chamber at a rate of less than 300 sccm, and N<sub>2</sub> flowed into the chamber at a rate of less than 4000 sccm.

Collins teaches a method of producing semiconductor films (abstract). Specifically, Collins teaches deposition gases further comprising NH<sub>3</sub> flowed into the chamber at a rate of less than

Application/Control Number: 09/418,818

Art Unit: 1763

300 sccm, and N<sub>2</sub> flowed into the chamber at a rate of less than 4000 sccm (column 12, lines 58-

Page 8

68).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made

for Felts et al (USPat. 4,888,199) to replace nitrous oxide with the deposition gases of NH<sub>3</sub> and

N<sub>2</sub> at the flow rates taught by Collins.

Motivation for Felts et al (USPat. 4,888,199) to replace nitrous oxide with the deposition gases

of  $NH_3$  and  $N_2$  at the flow rates taught by Collins is to produce silicon nitride films (column 12,

lines 59-60).

4. Claims 8, 60, and 61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over J.

Batey et al in view of Fourmun Lee (U.S. Pat. 5,286,581). Batey teaches a substrate processing

system as discussed above. However, Batey does not teach:

i. means for forming a layer of photoresist on the antireflective layer, the antireflective layer

having a thickness and refractive index such that a first reflection from an interface between

the photoresist and the antireflective layer of an exposure light will be an odd number which

is at least 3 multiplied by 180° out of phase with a second reflection from an interface

between the antireflective layer and the substrate layer of the exposure light; and means for

forming a photoresist pattern by exposing the photoresist layer to the exposure light and

developing the exposed photoresist layer.

ii. A silicon oxynitride antireflective layer with refractive index in 1.7-2.9 and absorptive index

in 0-1.3 and a thickness of 200-3000 and an light exposure wavelength of 365nm or less.

Fourmun Lee does teach:

Application/Control Number: 09/418,818

Art Unit: 1763

- i. means for forming a layer of photoresist (14, Fig.1;column 3, line 65- col.4, line 5) on the antireflective layer (13, Fig.1;column 3, lines 46-64), the antireflective layer (13, Fig.1;column 3, lines 46-64) having a thickness ("d", col.5, lines 10-15) and refractive index ("n", col.5, lines 10-15) such that a first reflection from an interface between the photoresist and the antireflective layer of an exposure light ("L", col.5, lines 10-15) will be an odd number (1, in this case; column 5, line 6) which is not at least 3 multiplied by 180° (in radians) out of phase with a second reflection from an interface between the antireflective layer and the substrate layer (12', 13'; column 5, lines 5-10) of the exposure light; and means for forming a photoresist pattern (column 5, lines 52-57) by exposing the photoresist layer to the exposure light and developing the exposed photoresist layer.
- ii. A silicon nitride and silicon oxynitride antireflective layer (12', 13'; column 5, lines 20-30; column 3, line 49) with refractive index in 1.7-2.9 (2.05, column 5, line 27) and a thickness of 200-3000 (1,738; column 5, line 27) and an light exposure wavelength of 365nm or less (column 5, line 24).

Although Fourmun Lee teaches only n radians, where n=1, out of phase between consecutive areas 12' and 13', it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to realize that odd multiples of radians is the same phase angle.

Although Fourmun Lee does not mention the absorptive index of the antireflective layer for a silicon oxynitride material, it is the position of the examiner that the absorptive index of silicon oxynitride for the claimed wave length of 365nm and taught by Fourmun Lee (column 5, line 24) is a fixed intrinsic property of the silicon oxynitride material for the wavelength in question. As such Fourmun Lee teaches the absorptive index at the wavelength in question.

Art Unit: 1763

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made

for Batey to form a layer of photoresist on the antireflective layer as taught by Fourmun Lee.

Motivation for Batey to form a layer of photoresist on the antireflective layer as taught by

Fourmun Lee is to fabricate microelectronics by photolithographic technique (column 1, lines 9-

30) including providing a phase-shift mask for reducing diffraction effects found in common

photolithographic techniques (column 2, lines 32-48).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10, and 44-62 have been considered but

are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Examiner Rudy Zervigon whose telephone number is (703) 305-

1351. The examiner can normally be reached on a Monday through Thursday schedule from 8am

through 7pm. The official after final fax phone number for the 1763 art unit is (703) 872-9311.

The official before final fax phone number for the 1763 art unit is (703) 872-9310. Any Inquiry

of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to

the Chemical and Materials Engineering art unit receptionist at (703) 308-0661. If the examiner

can not be reached please contact the examiner's supervisor, Gregory L. Mills, at (703) 308-

1633.

JEFFRIE R. LUND PRIMARY EXAMINER